Dear Lui,

I found the enclosed Why as I can't back from and are returning it offer fast reading, to avoid delay. There is no greshion of the subject whater . The presentation however is (It my probably reactionary mind) somewhat misortimate Pirt of all, I do not see why complex material of this type should be written up in full thost hand, without even the help of a few diagram figures to help the reader. Second, the text itself has no attempt to clarity, and the lack of tabular muterial with some data on frequency or presence of recombined nation forces the reader to take paper and penuil construct maps etc. I hied as an exercise to do so for the first few papes (up to 5) and gave up, purtly because table I was missing, partly because some of the pertinent data on materials is given by reference to mynth lished papers (mi press etc.). The whole things a matter of philosofly - If anticle, are to be read by mes own graduate students only, then one might as well not mike them - If he jublication they oright to be geared to the reader - Losh can write supert paper (see Generies 1947 and Zinder + Lederberg)-This one is a night more - Especially distribing to me the the summary, without any evidence and with statement that may mean any of 100 things, and the discourse where where of the evidence was runninged. I have pencilled a few suggestions here and there. The major factual one is the concerns the relationship of F+ to a latent virus (page 1) - How do we know that the F+ forms don't eavry another phase? In minutery: either accept the paper as it is, on the basis that the reader should pay with hard work for the privilege of reaching good work, or ask took to

write a paper of the donal type, with the aim to make himself understood to the greatest propostron

of mortais (like myself)

You may show these comments to Lock, he keen.
that I am well motivated.

Cadially, Lunia